

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Vignina 22313-1450
www.upto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOGUETANO			
00/972 7/0	0.4/2.4/2.2	THE THRIBD HAVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/877,768	06/08/2001	Atsushi Okuyama	1232-4722	3924		
27123 75	90 07/08/2003					
MORGAN &	FINNEGAN, L.L.P.					
345 PARK AVI			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
NEW YORK, N			DOWLING, WILLIAM C			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2851			
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PT	O-326 (Rev.	04-01) Office Action	on Summary	Pa	art of Paper No. 6		
2 3 U.S.	) Notice ) Informa		4)	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)		
Attachment(s)							
	15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	Application Papers						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10 and 13-19</u> is/are objected to.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,11,12 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-58</u> is/are allowed.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	Disposition of Claims						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	2a)□	25/25 This decion is non-mai.					
	1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>					
	<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> <li>Status</li> </ul>						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the meanimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
Period for Reply							
ŀ		The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
L			09/877,768		OKUYAMA ET AL.		
			Application N	<b>.</b>	Applicant(s)		

Application/Control Number: 09/877,768

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1-3, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin.

Lin discloses a projection apparatus comprising:

an image forming element (7 or 9) for forming an image by modulating incident light;

Application/Control Number: 09/877,768 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

an illumination optical system (5) for illuminating the element with light from a light source (1);

Lens elements (5a, 5b) are relatively movable so as to vary a light intensity distribution. (Column 2 Line 53- Column 3 Line 6) The brightness of a center portion of the modulator is either strong or decreased.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin in view of.

Lin discloses a projection apparatus for varying illumination distribution on a light modulator but does not teach using such a lens system in an arrangement with plural modulators.

Ogawa et al. teach the use of movable lens member preceding an optical arrangement with plural light modulators.

Art Unit: 2851

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the lens arrangement shown by Lin for use in other optical arrangement, such as one shown by Ogawa et al., in order to provide intensity variance in multiple modulator systems. Note that it is the lens arrangement application which is being modified, thus the fact that Lin shows a color filter does not negate the combination.

Sequential color filter and dichroic separation are both well known means of forming color images.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 4-10, 13-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 25-58 are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 703-308-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703-308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Application/Control Number: 09/877,768 Page 5

Art Unit: 2851

are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

William C. Dowling Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851

wcd June 30, 2003